ļ		
1	J. RANDALL ANDRADA (SBN:70000)	
2	LYNNE G. STOCKER (SBN 130333 ANDRADA & ASSOCIATES	
3	PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 225	
4	Oakland, California 94612 Tel.: (510) 287-4160	
5	Fax: (510) 287-4161	
6	Attorneys for Defendants GREGORY AHERN, OFFICER CHAVEZ,	
7	OFFICER GARCIA, OFFICER KING and OFFICER OBICHERE	
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	YVETTE FELARCA, et al.,	Case No.: 4:11-cv-05719-YGR
14	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
15	v.	ORDER REVISING CASE SCHEDULE
16	ROBERT J. BIRGENEAU, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	The parties, by and through their respective cou	insel, stipulate as follows:
20	I. RECITALS	•
21		
22		ared the following schedule for this case, per a
23	modification of the parties' stipulation (both the stipulation and the modification had been entered	
	at the request of the plaintiffs): [Docket #74]	
24	i. Hearings on any motions filed	in response to the Amended Complaint are set
25	for Tuesday, December 11, 2012, at 2 p.m.	
26	_	ance is set for February 11, 2012
27	n. The Case Management Conference	ence is set for February 11, 2013.
28		

ASSOCIATES	CORPORATION
ANDRADA &	PROFESSIONAL

2.	This was the third postponement of the current proceedings. The hearing now set for
December 11	, 2012 had previously been scheduled for November 13, 2012, but plaintiffs' counsel
requested and	defendants' counsel and this Court agreed to postpone it because plaintiffs' attorney
was schedule	d to be in London to speak at a conference and for a court appearance.

- 3. When issuing the order [Docket #74], the Court was unaware that December 11, 2012 and February 11, 2013 were also undesirable dates, for reasons stated below. This stipulation seeks to avoid a repetition of this problem by giving a full range of dates that are available and unavailable for all the parties.
- 4. The parties stipulate (1) to a rescheduling of the December 11, 2012 hearing to December 4, 2012 or, in the alternative, to January 15, or February 26 of 2013; and (2) to a rescheduling of the February 11, 2013 Initial Case Management Conference to January 7, January 14, February 25, March 4, or March 11 of 2013.
- 5. The parties respectfully request this Court to schedule the hearing on motions filed in response to the Amended Complaint on December 4, 2012, in light of the scheduling complications involved with postponement as set forth below.
- 6. Regarding the possible dates for the hearing on motions filed in response to the Amended Complaint currently set for December 11, 2012, the attorneys have consulted and determined:
 - i. December 11, 2012 is unavailable because Russell Perry, attorney for defendants Hernandez, Lachler, and Florendo, has an arbitration hearing December 11, 2012, and Lynne Stocker, attorney for defendants Ahern, Chavez, Garcia, King and Obichere, has an arbitration hearing December 11-12, 2012.
 - ii. December 18, 2012 is unavailable because Ms. Stocker has depositions that day, and Mr. Perry has a pretrial hearing in San Francisco at 2:00pm.

	_	
	7	
	8	3
	ç)
]	1()
1	1	l
]	12	,
	13	
1	14	1
1	15	,
1	16)
	17	
]	18	3
]	19)
	20	
2)
2	20)
	20 21)
	20 21 22	3
	20 21 22	3
	20 21 22 23	3
	20 21 22 23 24	3
	20 21 22 23 24 25	3
	20 21 22 23 24 25 27	3
	20 21 22 23 24 25 27	3

2

3

4

5

iii.	December 25, 2012 and January 1, 2013 are unavailable because they are
holidays.	

- iv. January 8, 2013 is unavailable on the Court's calendar.
- v. January 15, 2013 is available.
- vi. January 22, 2013 is unavailable because Mr. Perry has a trial scheduled January 22-February 1, 2013 in San Francisco and because Ms. Stocker has pre-paid plans to attend the inauguration in Washington, D.C.
- vii. January 29, 2013 is unavailable because of Mr. Perry's trial scheduled January 22-February 1, 2013 in San Francisco.
 - viii. February 5, 2013 is not available on the Court's calendar.
- ix. February 12, 2013 is unavailable because Mr. Perry has a trial before the State Personnel Board February 11-15, 2013 that was coordinated with multiple parties and the Administrative Law Judge.
- x. February 19, 2013 is unavailable because Ms. Stocker has a mediation scheduled.
- 11. It is the parties' understanding that the Court shares their desire to hold the Initial
 Case Management Conference some time after the above hearing. Regarding scheduling the Initial
 CMC, the attorneys have consulted and determined:
 - The present date of February 11, 2013 is unavailable because Mr. Perry has a trial before the State Personnel Board February 11-15, 2013 that was coordinated with multiple parties and the Administrative Law Judge.
 - ii. December 24 is not available on the Court's calendar.
 - iii. December 31 is not available on Mr. Perry's calendar.
 - iv. January 7 and January 14, 2013 are available.

	1
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	F
11	1
12	
13	
14	C
15	
16	
17	we
18	
19	 pla
20	
21	tir
22	
23	Fe
24	or
25	//
26	//
27	ľ,,
28	' '

v. January 21, 2013 is not available because the Court is closed for the	holiday
--	---------

- January 28 is not available because of Mr. Perry's trial scheduled January 22vi. February 1, 2013 in San Francisco.
 - vii. February 4 is not available on the Court's calendar.
 - viii. February 11 is not available for the reasons set forth above.
 - ix. February 18 is not available because the Court is closed for the holiday.
 - February 25 is available. X.
- 12. If the hearing on motions filed in response to the Amended Complaint is held in February of 2013, the parties respectfully request that the Initial CMC be held on March 4, March 11, March 18, or March 25 of 2013—which are available to all parties and their counsel.
- 13. The motions to dismiss, if granted, would narrow the issues of this case before the Case Management Conference.

II. **STIPULATION**

- All replies to oppositions to motions filed in response to the Amended Complaint were timely filed on November 13, 2012.
- 2. Hearings on any motions filed in response to the Amended Complaint shall take place on December 4, 2012, or January 15, 2013, or February 26, 2013 at 2:00 p.m. or at such other time as the Court may order.
- 3. The Initial Case Management Conference shall take place on January 7, January 14, February 25, March 4, or March 11 of 2013 at 2:00 p.m., or at such other time as the Court may order.

1	By the parties' attorneys IT IS SO	STIPULATED,
2	Dated: November 16, 2012	SCHEFF, WASHINGTON & DRIVER
3		/s/ Ronald Cruz
4		By:RONALD CRUZ
5		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6	Dated: November 16, 2012	ANDRADA & ASSOCIATES
7		
8		/s/ Lynne G. Stocker By: LYNNE G. STOCKER
9		Attorneys for Defendants
10		GREGORY AHERN, OFFICER CHAVEZ, OFFICER GARCIA, OFFICER KING and
11		OFFICER OBICHERE
12	Dated: November 16, 2012	CROWELL & MORING, LLP
13		/ / I I . C
14		/s/ Janine L. Scancarelli By: JANINE L. SCANCARELLI
15		Attorneys for Defendants ROBERT J. BIRGENEAU, GEORGE
16		BRESLAUER, HARRY LE GRANDE, LINDA WILLIAMS, JOHN WILTON,
17		CLAIRE HOLMES, MITCHELL CELAYA
18	Dated: November <u>1</u> 6, 2012	LACKIE, DAMMEIER, MCGILL & ETHIR
19	Buted: 1(0) cmocr 10, 2012	Exercise, Briving Edit, Mediel & Etting
20		/s/ Russell M. Perry By:
21		RUSSELL M. PERRY Attorneys for Defendants
22		OFFICER N. HERNANDEZ, OFFICER LACHLER AND DETECTIVE RICK
23		FLORENDO
24	I hereby attest that I have on file all holog	raph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
25	"conformed" signature (/s/) within this efi	
26		/s/ Lynne G. Stocker
27		LYNNE G. STOCKER
28		5
		J

ASSOCIATES	CORPORATION
ANDRADA & A	PROFESSIONAL

<u>Order</u>
The December 11, 2012 Hearing on the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 50, 52
57, 58) is Continued to February 26, 2013 .
The February 11, 2013 Case Management Conference is CONTINUED to March 11, 2013 .
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: December 7, 2012 July Jul